Comments to Co P+Z Committee plof 2 Nov. 29, 2021 (Submitted by Anita-Martin) during Public Comment Earlier this month, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission approved DE Energies to receive a Certificate of Authority to more toroard with the LNG plant in Fxonia. 1th my understanding, the Emergency Plan for this operation is 3/2 pages in length, and has been approved by County staff. Compare this to the 64-page Tacoma LNG Fire + Safety Review (by Braemar Technical Services) (BEP 1251-05). This report was prepared for the City of Tacoma (Washington) Fire Department. This 64-page (was looked at by our Co Executive Committee. Retiring fire Chief of Ixonia, Dave Schilling, referenced this report during a meeting in the last year, or year-and-a-half. Jear-and-a-halt.

Source: https://cms.cityoftacoma.org/planning/
TacomalNoFireard Safety Review-Report BEP1251-05_

Final 1.207-2-2018. pdf) (Continued)

Comments to Co P+Z Committee
Nov. 29, 2021 (Submitted by Amite Martin) P2 of Z

during Public Comment Based on available public information, more than more than to be used WE Energies has is sufficient funds to be used for hiring trained, experienced, certified professionals to develop a site-specific emergency plan. If WE Energies says they do not have the money to commit to hiring a 3rd-party subject matter expert for this critical tack, then we need to ask 2 questions. (1.) What about money needed down the road for maintenance of this LNG operation? 2) If they can't or won't allocate funds for an appropriate safety + emergency response plan now, what happens in the event of a serious problem or catastrophic situation? the 31/2-page emergency plan lacks sufficient details and provisions to protect public health a public safety. Why is this emergency plan going forward? Thank you for considering what has been stated here.

Comments to Co. P & Z Committee during Public Comment period Nov. 29, 2021 meeting (Submitted by Anita Martin)

Earlier this month, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission approved WE Energies to receive a Certificate of Authority, to move forward with the LNG plant in Ixonia.

It's my understanding, the Emergency Plan for this operation is 3 ½-pages in length, and has been approved by County staff.

Compare this plan with the 64-page Tacoma LNG Fire and Safety Review by Braemar Technical Services, BEP 1251-05. This report was prepared for the City of Tacoma (Washington) Fire Department. This 64-page report was looked at by our County Executive Committee. Retiring Fire Chief of Ixonia, Dave Schilling, referenced this report during a public meeting in the last year, or year-and-a-half. (Source: https://cms.cityoftacoma.org/planning/TacomaLNGFireandSafetyReview-ReportBEP1251-05 Final%207-2-2018.pdf)

Based on available public information, WE Energies has more than sufficient funds to be used for hiring trained, experienced, certified safety professionals to develop a site-specific emergency plan.

If WE Energies says they do not have money to commit to hiring a 3rd-party subject matter expert for this critical task, then we need to ask 2 questions:

- 1.) What about money needed down the road for maintenance of this LNG operation?
- 2.) If they can't—or won't-allocate funds for an appropriate safety and emergency response plan now, what happens in the event of a serious problem or catastrophic situation?

The 3 ½-page emergency plan lacks sufficient details and provisions to protect public health and public safety. Why is this emergency plan going forward?

Thank you for considering what has been stated here.

Public Comment Sign-In Sheet

Committee/Board Name:	129/21	Date of Meeting:	P+Z Committee]			
The Chair may limit the number of persons addressing the Board under Section 3.01(5)(h) to a number determined by the Chair to reasonably represent the views of large groups of persons wishing to address the Board, to prevent repetition. The length of time allocated to any person addressing the Board under Section 3.01(5)(h) shall not exceed 3 minutes unless unique circumstances support the Chair allowing a longer period which may be shortened at the discretion of the Chair, with all public comment confined to a maximum of 30 minutes. The County Board may extend public comment beyond 30 minutes by two thirds majority vote of the County Board members present.						Do you wish to participate in Public Comment?	
Name (Please Print Clearly)	City or Township	Person or Organization Representing (if applicable)	Agenda Item # or General Comment	Support	Oppose	Yes	No
Anita Martin	Lakemills	Self & Board + to	#12	1-98 3		X	
m BENZ	WATERTOUR Ferrington	SELF	# 20		2		X
Don't Slavel	Formyton	self	II 20		4	X	
BETWEEN EARLY STANLES							
				155			
CONTRACTOR OF THE ASSESSMENT							
		CHARLES NEWS					EI PACH
					estie		
	HER MICHELLIN DE MONT	A THE REAL PROPERTY OF CASE					
					Marie	NYE (Sea)	
	+1						
					IS SEVER		DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF